WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	U	nited States of An V.	nerica	ORDER OF DET	ENTION PENDING DISPOSITION	
	An	thony Robert Jacl	kson	Case Number:	CR-11-02319-PHX-SPL	
			18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), Check one or both, as applica	•	n submitted to the Court. I conclude that the	
	the de	e defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.				
\boxtimes	the de	efendant is a serious f	light risk and requires t	he detention of the defendar	nt pending disposition in this case.	
			PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	• , ,	, , , ,	has been convicted of a (fede ince giving rise to federal juri	eral offense)(state or local offense that would sdiction had existed) that is	
		a crime of	violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offense	for which the maximur	n sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.	
		an offense	for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten y	years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony the described i	at was committed after in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(the defendant had been con 1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	victed of two or more prior federal offenses to or local offenses.	
		device (as			essession or use of a firearm or destructive r dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to	
	(2))(2)(B): The offense de ederal, state or local of		nmitted while the defendant was on release	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e) of the defendant from)(2)(C): A period of not om imprisonment) for the	more than five years has ela ne offense described in findin	apsed since the (date of conviction)(release g 1.	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (reasonably assure rebutted this presul	the safety of (an)other	ebuttable presumption that neperson(s) and the communi	o condition or combination of conditions will ty. I further find that the defendant has not	
			Alte	rnative Findings		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable ca			cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense	
		for which a	maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in1	
		under 18 U	J.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a),	or 2332b.		
		under 18 U	J.S.C. 1581-1594, for w	hich a maximum term of impr	risonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.	
		an offense	involving a minor victir	n under section	.2	
	(2)	The defendant has	s not rebutted the pres	sumption established by find	ding 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:11-cr-02319-SPL Document 45 Filed 09/04/15 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assu the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the communit				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intima prospective witness or juror).				
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.				
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
Thod	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Bretrial Services Benert, events				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:11-cr-02319-SPL Document 45 Filed 09/04/15 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release by failing to report to the probation officer after his release from custody and failing to register as a sex offender. The defendant absconded from supervision. Therefore, the Court finds that he poses a flight risk.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 3rd day of September, 2015.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge